IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Criminal Writ Petition No. 1345 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Smt. Kavita Mehra Saigal,
   W/o Sri Amit Saigal,
   R/o F-41 Rajouri Garden,
   New Delhi.
2. Smt. Geeta Mehra Bahl,
   W/o Sri Rajiv Bahl,
   R/o E509, Raheja Residency,
   Koromangala, Bangalore- 560 634.
3. Sri Amit Saigal S/o Sri K.M. Saigal,
   R/o F-41 Rajouri Garden,
   New Delhi.
4. Sri R.C. Bahl S/o Sri Sri Ram Pratap Bahl,
   R/o P-60, Bangur Park, Rishra,
   District Hoogli, West Bengal. ……. Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttarakhand.
2. Senior Superintendent of Police,
   Udham Singh Nagar, Uttaranchal.
3. S.H.O. Police Station Khatima,
   Udham Singh Nagar, Uttaranchal.
4. Sri Naresh Talwar,
   Talwar Farms, Belaghat Road,
   Khatima, Udham Singh Nagar,
   Uttaranchal. ……. Respondents

Mr. Rakesh Munjal, Senior Advocate with Mr. Manish Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Prabhakar Joshi, Brief Holder for respondents Nos. 1 to 3.
Mr. Gopal K. Verma, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Mr. Rakesh Munjal, Senior Advocate with Mr. Manish Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Prabhakar Joshi, Brief Holder for respondents Nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. Gopal K. Verma, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Mr. Prabhakar Joshi, the learned Brief Holder submits that the writ petition filed by petitioners seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them has, now,

become infructuous, as the police, after the completion of the investigation, has filed charge sheet against the petitioners before the concerning court on 12.04.2007.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. Consequently, the interim order dated 27.10.2006 stands vacated automatically.

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.)**
11.05.2007 11.05.2007

G